

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/763,776	01/23/2004	Arnd Kessler	H 5357 PCT/US	7564
423	7590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
HENKEL CORPORATION			MRUK, BRIAN P	
THE TRIAD,	, SUITE 200 SSANCE BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GULPH MILLS, PA 19406			1751	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Mi

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	10/763,776	KESSLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian P. Mruk	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	arch 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-24</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	- -	d III tilis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-23-05</u> .	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO*102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/763,776 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed March 23, 2005.
 Applicant has amended claims 1, 11, 15, and 16. Claim 10 has been cancelled.
 Currently, claims 1-9 and 11-24 remain pending in the application.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action, Paper No. 20041019.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-9 and 19-24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scheper et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,013,613, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and remarks.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-9 and 19-24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gorlin et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,698,507, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and remarks.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawaguchi et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,680,286, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and remarks.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,776 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

6. The rejection of claims 10-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaguchi et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,680,286, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and remarks.

- 7. The provisional rejection of claims 1-9 and 11-24 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of copending Application No. 10/763,086 is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 8. The provisional rejection of claims 1-9 and 11-24 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/764,232 is maintained for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed March 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that copending Application Nos. 10/763,086 and 10/764,232 do not disclose or suggest the claimed dynamic surface tension limitation required in the instant claims. However, the examiner asserts that the nonionic surfactants disclosed in both copending Application Nos. 10/763,086 and 10/764,232 would inherently meet the dynamic surface tension requirement. Specifically, both copending Application Nos. 10/763,086 and 10/764,232 require the exact same nonionic surfactant required in the instant claims, and therefore, the examiner asserts that this dynamic surface tension

Art Unit: 1751

property would inherently be met by the nonionic surfactants claimed in both copending Application Nos. 10/763,086 and 10/764,232.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/763,776

Art Unit: 1751

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Brian Mruk June 10, 2005

Brian P. Mruk
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700

Page 5